

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Attraction, Viginia 22313-1450

www.ubto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/992,899 | 11/05/2001 | Brian Warren Woodroffe | 30990131US | 5681 |
| 7590 01/04/2006 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Paul D. Greeley, Esq. | | | ALAM, UZMA | |
| Ohlandt, Greele | ey, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P | • | | |
| One Landmark Square, 10th Floor | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Stamford, CT 06901-2682 | | | 2157 | |

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Application No. Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| Advisory Action | 09/992,899 | WOODROFFE, BRIAN WARREN | | | | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Uzma Alam | 2157 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence addr | ess | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 15 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follop places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of | owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl | ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv | | e final rejection, whichever | r is later. In no | | | |
| event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO | | | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f |) . | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ending Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a support of the composition. | xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal o | f the appeal. | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | be med within the time period set it | 7 (1 1 1 3 7 C) 1 1 4 1.37 (2 | a). | | | |
| 3. 🔯 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brie | f, will not be entered b | ecause | | | |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | nsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re | TE below); educing or simplifying | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). | | | | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a | · —— | , timely filed amendme | ent canceling | | | |
| the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-36. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. | ☑` will not be entered, or b) ☐ wvided below or appended. | vill be entered and an e | explanation of | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence is | s necessary | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | ls to provide a 1). | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration because: | | - | | | | |
| See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s) | | | | |
| 13. | | | | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The newly proposed claims contain new limitations that were not filed with theoriginal claims and therefore require a further search and consideration by examiner.

ARID ETIENNE

CUBERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE